



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,260	07/06/2001	Toshikazu Higashi	018656-234	3456

7590 09/24/2007
Platon N. Mandros
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.
P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

LIVERSEDGE, JENNIFER L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3692

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/24/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/899,260

Applicant(s)

HIGASHI ET AL.

Examiner

Jennifer Liversedge

Art Unit

3692

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to Applicant's amendment and request for reconsideration of application 09/899,260 filed on July 13, 2007.

The amendment and request for reconsideration contains no amendments to the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 7,075,666 B1 to Aiyama (further referred to as Aiyama), and further in view of US Patent No. 6,952,780 B2 to Olsen et al. (further referred to as Olsen).

Regarding claims 1-3, Aiyama discloses an information processing and electronic payment method (columns 1-8) comprising the steps of:

Receiving, through a network, data including both processing data to be information-processed and electronic money by which payment for information processing is made (Figure 1; column 3, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 10-39; column 8, lines 6-7);

Relating the received data to be information-processed with the electronic money by which payment for information is made (Figure 4; column 6, lines 10-39; column 8, lines 6-7);

Making a request to determine whether the received electronic money is valid or not (column 7, lines 1-5; column 8, lines 6-7); and

When the received electronic money is confirmed to be valid, automatically starting the processing of the processing data to be information-processed (column 7, lines 1-16; column 8, lines 6-7).

Aiyama does not specifically disclose wherein the processing data and the electronic money are attached together. However, Olsen discloses where processing data and a means of billing for the processing of the data are attached together (column 25, lines 33-42; column 59, lines 37-50) and where cards such as credit cards may be read by an attached card reader (column 5, lines 23-37). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the use of attaching information processing and a means of billing it as disclosed by Olsen to the information processing and payment by

electronic money as disclosed by Aiyama. The motivation would be to create an associated between the data in a job and the means by which the job is being paid for.

Regarding claim 4, Aiyama discloses a system for making payment by electronic money (columns 1-8) comprising:

A user side subsystem including a user's terminal (Figures 1 and 4),

An electronic money issuer side subsystem including an electronic money issuing server (Figure 4), and

A processor side subsystem including a data processor that performs a processing based on processing request data from the user (Figures 1 and 4),

Wherein the user side subsystem, the electronic money issuer side subsystem and the processor side subsystem are connected to one another through a network (Figure 4),

Wherein the user side subsystem transmits both the processing request data and electronic money data including electronic money issued by the electronic money issuer side subsystem together to the processor side subsystem (Figure 1; column 3, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 10-39; column 8, lines 6-7);

The processor side subsystem transmits the electronic money data to the electronic money issuer side subsystem (column 6, lines 10-67; column 7, lines 1-5; column 8, lines 6-7);

The electronic money issuer side system determines whether the electronic money is valid or not (column 7, lines 1-5; column 8, lines 6-7); and

The processor side subsystem performs the processing based on the processing request data in accordance with a result of the electronic money validation and transmits a request for payment for the processing to the electronic money issuer side subsystem (column 6, lines 10-67; column 7, lines 1-16; column 8, lines 6-7).

Aiyama does not specifically disclose wherein the processing data and the electronic money are attached together. However, Olsen discloses where processing data and a means of billing for the processing of the data are attached together (column 25, lines 33-42; column 59, lines 37-50) and where cards such as credit cards may be read by an attached card reader (column 5, lines 23-37). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the use of attaching information processing and a means of billing it as disclosed by Olsen to the information processing and payment by electronic money as disclosed by Aiyama. The motivation would be to create an association between the data in a job and the means by which the job is being paid for.

Regarding claims 5-6, Aiyama discloses an electronic money processor (columns 1-8) comprising:

Receiving member which receives both processing request data transmitted from a user through a network and based on which a processing requested by the user is executed and electronic money data transmitted from the user through the network and including electronic money issued by an electronic money issuer (Figure 1; column 3, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 10-39; column 8, lines 6-7);

Memory in which the processing request data received by the receiving member is stored (Figure 1; column 3, lines 52-61);

Transmitter which transmits the electronic money data received by the receiving member to the electronic money issuer to check whether the electronic money is valid or not (Figure 4; column 6, lines 10-67; column 7, lines 1-5; column 8, lines 6-7); and

Execution controller which controls execution of the processing based on the processing request data corresponding to the electronic money data and stored in the memory in accordance with a result of the check of validity of the electronic money (column 7, lines 1-16; column 8, lines 6-7).

Aiyama does not specifically disclose wherein the processing data and the electronic money are attached together. However, Olsen discloses where processing data and a means of billing for the processing of the data are attached together (column 25, lines 33-42; column 59, lines 37-50) and where cards such as credit cards may be read by an attached card reader (column 5, lines 23-37). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the use of attaching information processing and a means of billing it as disclosed by Olsen to the information processing and payment by electronic money as disclosed by Aiyama. The motivation would be to create an association between the data in a job and the means by which the job is being paid for.

Regarding claim 7, Aiyama discloses an image forming apparatus comprising the electronic money processor as claimed in claim 5 (see rejection to claim 5 above), further comprising:

An image forming portion in which execution of the processing based on the processing request data is controlled by the execution control means of the electronic money processor (column 7, lines 1-16).

Regarding claim 8, Aiyama discloses an image forming apparatus comprising:

Receiving member which receives both print data transmitted from a user through a network and electronic money data corresponding to the print data transmitted from the user through the network and including electronic money issued by an electronic money issuer (Figure 1; column 3, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 10-39; column 8, lines 6-7);

Transmitter which transmits the electronic money data received by the receiving member to the electronic money issuer to check whether the electronic money is valid or not (Figure 4; column 6, lines 10-67; column 7, lines 1-5; column 8, lines 6-7); and

Image forming portion which processes the print data in accordance with a result of the check of validity of the electronic money (column 7, lines 1-16).

Aiyama does not specifically disclose wherein the processing data and the electronic money are attached together. However, Olsen discloses where processing data and a means of billing for the processing of the data are attached together (column 25, lines 33-42; column 59, lines 37-50) and where cards such as credit cards may be read by an attached card reader (column 5, lines 23-37). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the use of attaching information processing and a means of billing it as disclosed by Olsen to the information processing and payment by

Art Unit: 3692

electronic money as disclosed by Aiyama. The motivation would be to create an associated between the data in a job and the means by which the job is being paid for.

Regarding claims 9-14, Aiyama does not specifically disclose wherein the processing data and electronic money are attached together in a data packet including header information and print control command. However, Olsen discloses where processing data and a means of billing for the processing of the data are attached together (column 25, lines 33-42; column 59, lines 37-50), where cards such as credit cards may be read by an attached card reader (column 5, lines 23-37) and where information which defines a document and access thereto are contained in a header (column 2, lines 28-41; column 3, line 61 – column 4, line 6; column 7, lines 7-32; column 9, line 56 – column 10, line 13; column 12, lines 12-25). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the use of a header with relevant document information and attaching information processing and a means of billing it as disclosed by Olsen to the information processing and payment by electronic money as disclosed by Aiyama. The motivation would be to create an associated via a print job header between the data in a job and the means by which the job is being paid for.

Response to Arguments

Applicant has argued that nothing in Aiyama or Olsen shows, teaches or suggests attaching together processing data and electronic money for receipt through a

Art Unit: 3692

network or to a side subsystem or image forming apparatus as claimed in the present application.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner maintains the rejection of the claims, as detailed in the rejection above, and maintains that the combination of Aiyama and Olsen teach the claimed language of the present application. Aiyama teaches a network with an image forming apparatus and side subsystems for the processing of process data wherein payment in the form of electronic money is received for processing the data associated with each job (Figure 1; column 3, lines 52-61; column 6, lines 10-39; column 8, lines 6-7) wherein the received data to be processed is related to the electronic money (Figure 4; column 6, lines 10-39; column 8, lines 6-7).

Accordingly, Aiyama discloses associating payment by electronic money to a specific processing job.

Olsen teaches a billing mechanism for which a billing principal is used wherein a print job is tagged with additional data at submission, wherein the data can be indicative of a billing mechanism (column 25, lines 33-42; column 59, lines 37-50), where cards such as credit cards may be read by an attached card reader (column 5, lines 23-37) and where information which defines a document and access thereto are contained in a header (column 2, lines 28-41; column 3, line 61 – column 4, line 6; column 7, lines 7-32; column 9, line 56 – column 10, line 13; column 12, lines 12-25). *Accordingly, Olsen discloses where a job for processing has attached thereto information indicative of a billing mechanism and header information which contains user identifying data such as*

Art Unit: 3692

credit card information as stored in the user table, where a document and a header are received for processing.

Therefore, the combination of Aiyama and Olsen teach the claim limitations as set forth in the present application. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Aiyama and Olsen, for clear tracking of payment associated with processing jobs. The Courts have stated that "[w]hen a work is available in one field of endeavor, design incentives and other market forces can prompt variations of it, either in the same field or a different one. If a person of ordinary skill can implement a predictable variation, §103 likely bars its patentability. For the same reason, if a technique has been used to improve one device, and a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it would improve similar devices in the same way, using the technique is obvious unless its actual application is beyond his or her skill." *KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.* 127 S. Ct. 1727, 1740, 92 USPQ2d 1385, 1396 (2007).

In the instant case, the cited prior art references were available in the field at the time of the purported invention. The Applicant merely implemented a predictable variation of these existing methods in establishing his/her own invention. Such predictability is based upon the fact that each incorporated method performs the same function and provides the same utility as originally intended in their pre-combination state.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kambiz Abdi can be reached at 571-272-6702. The fax number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Liversedge

Examiner

Art Unit 3692

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Kambiz Abdi', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Kambiz Abdi

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3692